

Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

OMAX CORPORATION,
a Washington corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

FLOW INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, a Washington
corporation,

Defendant.

FLOW INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, a Washington
corporation,

Counterclaimant,

v.

OMAX CORPORATION,
A Washington corporation

Counterclaim Defendant

Case Number: CV 04-2334

STIPULATED ORDER RE RESPONSES
TO MOTION TO STRIKE CERTAIN
MARKMAN RELATED EVIDENCE
~~[PROPOSED]~~

**NOTE FOR CONSIDERATION: JUNE
19, 2006**

1 June 8, 2006, Flow International Corporation ("Flow"), defendant and counterclaimant,
 2 filed a Motion (Docs. # 167-168) for to Strike Certain Markman Related Evidence. OMAX and
 3 Flow, through their respective attorneys, have stipulated to an Amended Noting Date and briefing
 4 schedule relating to said Motion to Strike, and have also stipulated to the provisions of the Order
 5 below relating to a document entitled Flow's Sur-Reply to OMAX's Claim Construction Brief
 6 and Supporting Evidence (Local Rule 7(g), Doc. # 186, which Flow filed on June 16, 2006.
 7 ("Flow's Sur-Reply").

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

9 The Noting Date for Flow's Motion to Strike Certain Markman Related Evidence
 10 Summary Judgment is hereby changed from June 23, 2006 to June 27, 2006..

11 Omax's opposition, if any, to Flow's Motion to Strike shall be filed and served on or
 12 before June 22, 2006. Flow's reply, if any, shall be filed and served on or before June 27, 2006.

13 At OMAX's election, it may address contentions and subject matter contained in Flow's
 14 Sur-Reply, in its opposition to Flow's Motion to Strike.

15 . Done and Ordered in Chambers at the United States Courthouse, Seattle,
 16 Washington, this 20th day of June, 2006.

17 

18 Robert S. Lasnik
 19 United States District Judge
 20
 21
 22